UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ORDER OF REFERENCE

Check if previously referred UNITED STATES 04-30011 CA/CR No. _ V. JOSE ANTONIO GARCIA Criminal Category _____ In accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636 and the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the above-entitled case is referred to Magistrate Judge NEIMAN for the following proceedings: Referred for full pretrial case management, including all dispositive motions. (A) (B) Referred for full pretrial case management, not including dispositive motions: (C) Referred for discovery purposes only. (D) Referred for Report and Recommendation on: () Motion(s) for injunctive relief () Motion(s) for judgment on the pleadings () Motion(s) for summary judgment () Motion(s) to permit maintenance of a class action () Motion(s) to suppress evidence () Motion(s) to dismiss () Post Conviction Proceedings¹ See Documents Numbered: Case referred for events only. See Doc. No(s). (E) Case referred for settlement. (F) Service as a special master for hearing, determination and report, subject to the terms of the special order (G) filed herewith: () In accordance with Rule 53, F.R.Civ.P. () In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(5) INITIAL APPEARANCE ON VIOLATION OF Special Instructions: (H) CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE /s/Elizabeth A. French 2/7/05 Ву: Date **Deputy Clerk** (OrRef for pdf.wpd - 05/2003)

See reverse side of order for instructions

INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS

| In accordance of proceeding is re | | ules governing §2254 and §2255 cases the magistrate judge to whom this post-conviction shall: |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Make a recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 cases | |
| | Appoint counsel if the interests of justice so require | |
| | Order issuance of appropriate process, if necessary | |
| | Hold a hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a recommendation to the district judge | |
| | shall h | magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistrate judge nold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a memo to the t judge setting forth: |
| | (a) | a concise summary of the ultimate facts claimed by (1) petitioner (2) respondent (3) other parties; |
| | (b) | the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorporated by reference; |
| | (c) | any jurisdictional questions; |
| | (d) | issues of law, including evidentiary questions; |
| | (e) | the probable length of the evidentiary hearing. |
| _ | | ay also require the parties to submit the names of witnesses whom they intend to produce, and to and submit a schedule of, exhibits which they expect to offer in evidence. |
| | | any issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary ng, the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall: |
| | (a) | identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings; |
| | (b) | summarize the relevant facts; |
| | (c) | summarize the parties' contentions of law with appropriate citations; |
| | (d) | state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the grounds therefore. |
| | | |

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(OrRef for pdf.wpd - 1/20/03)